REMARKS

The examiner has indicated that Claims 9-12, 15, and 17 contain allowable subject matter if clarification regarding the rejection under Section 112 (first paragraph) is addressed. The first paragraph of Section 112 requires a written description in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same . . . The specification as amended above contains the sentence:

"As shown in Figures 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6, the parallel bladders have a gap space 29 between them which contains no vertically oriented bladder."

It is believed that this description combined with the drawings are full, clear, concise, and exact to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains to make and use the same. All one has to do is eliminate the use of any vertical bladder between the two bladders shown in the drawings. Clearly, one is permitted to use horizontal conduits between the vertical bladders. It is believed that the specification and claims as amended provide a full, clear, concise, and exact description which would enable anyone to make and use the invention. Also, the word "tubular" has been eliminated before the word "bladder." This was done because the examiner considered the shape of the bladder to be obvious. There is thus no advantage to the applicant to be limited to a "tubular" bladder. It is, thus, believed that claims 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, and 17 are not deficient with regard to 35 U.S.C. §112 and should be passed to allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

EDGAR W. AVERILL, JR.

Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 24,752

Averill & Varn 8244 Painter Avenue Whittier, CA 90602 (562) 698-8039